UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,065	02/13/2006	Rudolf Nenno	2003P12881WOUS	8084
	7590 05/02/201 ΓΑΝΙ, LIEBERMAN &	EXAMINER		
551 FIFTH AV SUITE 1210		AVERY, BRIDGET D		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/568,065	NENNO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRIDGET AVERY	3618		

	BRIDGET AVERY	3618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ft	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	kplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-22 and 24-37</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and 			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	to a more than the amage	it of other ovidence is	nococcary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/J. ALLEN SHRIVER II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618	/Bridget Avery/ Examiner, Art Unit 3618		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new recitations "prioritizing data from a plurality of modules by a data mask; adapting and filtering the data based at least in part on data formats; wherein the data is weighted to minimize processing time; a data mask configured to prioritize, filter, and normalize data relating to the energy consumption and energy accumulation; and weigting means to weight the data with respect to at least one of the energy source or the energy sink to minimize processing time, raise new issues requiring further search and consideration.